

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL

02288

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/05/02 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002200040032-0

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

Contract Administration & Settlement
Branch/PD/OL

DATE

2 August 1968

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 November 1968

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by NGA.

Prototype Split-Format 1540 Light Table with Mount for Various Microstereoscopes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES☒ NO (over)

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 58

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Unknown (over)

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☐ YES☒ NO☐ COST ☐ AWARD FEE ☐ PERFORMANCE ☐ DELIVERYNOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☒ AVERAGE3. ☐ VERY GOOD6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ CLOSE OUT☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

12 June 1968

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

FORM

12-67 1897

USE PREVIOUS EDITION

Approved For Release 2005/05/02 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002200040032-0

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

(12-36)

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/05/02 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002200040032-0

NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM☐ FINAL

X1 On 31 July 1968, the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (Inspector) visited [] for the purpose of ascertaining the Contractor's work progress under Contract No. [] A two hour discussion with [] Vice President, was conducted during this visit. 25

During the course of our discussion, [] enumerated the specific steps he had taken in prosecuting this Contract since its execution on 28 June 1968. These steps were: 25

- a. Investigating the elevating table requirements--it now appears that a completely new elevating table will have to be designed, rather than trying to adapt an existing model. This approach was deemed necessary in light of the anticipated increased weight of the light table proper: about 450 pounds.
- b. Investigating new materials such as TUFFAM and VEDON coatings [] on the glass table top slides and film rollers respectively. 25
- c. Reviewing the Human Factors aspects in the Development Objectives in association with design limitations.
- d. Investigation of table top strength requirements in order to determine the type of material necessary, i.e., plastic or glass.

In view of the period of performance of this contract (120 days) and the estimated per cent of work completed (54), it is considered that the contractor is behind schedule. However, in answer to a specific query, [] stated that the Light Table would be delivered within the period specified in the contract. 25

Relative to the per cent of funds expended, it is noted that the first monthly report under this contract is not due until about 15 August 1968 (re: Spec. No. DE-1001). Since a Status of Funds statement is a part of that report, the per cent of funds expended is unknown at this time.